

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,264	07/29/2003	Hideo Otsuka	030908	4727	
38834	7590 06/14/2005		EXAMINER		
	AN, HATTORI, DAN ECTICUT AVENUE, N	RUTHKOSI	RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
SUITE 700	Beriedi Avende, ivi	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036	1745	·		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments		10/628,26	34	OTSUKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
		Mark Ruth	•	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/1/2004.							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)□ 7	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/19/2003. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 8/19/2003 has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolski et al. (US 5,834,140.)

Application/Control Number: 10/628,264

The instant claims are to an electrodeposited copper foil having a surface roughness at a deposition surface at ordinary temperature smaller than 2.5 µm in terms of 10-point average roughness Rz, having a minimum distance between peaks of a base foil peak of at least 5 µm, having an ordinary temperature tensile strength of not more than 40 kg/mm², and having a drop in ordinary temperature tensile strength after heat treatment at 130°C for 15 hours of less than 15%.

Wolski et al. (US 5,834,140) teaches an electrodeposited copper foil having a surface roughness at a deposition surface at ordinary temperature smaller than 2.5 µm in terms of 10-point average roughness Rz, an ordinary temperature tensile strength of not more than 40 kg/mm², (see Table 2.) The elongation rate at ordinary temperature is at least 14% (Table 2.) The foil is used as an electrode material in secondary batteries (col. 1, lines 12-17.) The reference is silent to the values for a minimum distance between peaks of a base foil peak, and a drop in ordinary temperature tensile strength after heat treatment at 130 °C for 15 hours. It is noted that the processes of making the foil in both the instant application and the reference are equivalent (see the examples in cols. 8-10.) As the materials are the same and are made by the same methods, they will inherently have equivalent properties. Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1745

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolski et al. (US 5,834,140,) as applied to claims 1-4 above.

The teachings of Wolski et al. (US 5,834,140) have been presented. The reference does not teach that the secondary battery is a lithium ion battery. The examiner takes official notice that copper foils are commonly used as electrode current collector foils in lithium ion secondary battery electrodes. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the copper foil taught in Wolski et al. (US 5,834,140) to be used in secondary batteries into a Li-ion secondary battery, as the material will serve as an electrode current collector for conducting electrons to and from electrode active materials. The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/628,264

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745

Manfattethy 10/12/05